

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 63 (2007) 11878–11885

# Convenient synthetic route to a dehydrorotenoid via selective intramolecular aldol condensation of 1,2-diaryl diketone

Jumreang Tummatorn,<sup>a</sup> Prapas Khorphueng,<sup>b</sup> Amorn Petsom,<sup>a</sup> Nongnuch Muangsin,<sup>a</sup> Narongsak Chaichit<sup>c</sup> and Sophon Roengsumran<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Research Centre of Bioorganic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Phyathai Road, Bangkok 10330, Thailand<br>Poportment of Chemistry, Equily of Science, Mahanakorn University of Tea

<sup>o</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Mahanakorn University of Technology, Bangkok 10530, Thailand <sup>c</sup><br>CDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Thammasart University, Pathumthani 12121, Thailan <sup>c</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Thammasart University, Pathumthani 12121, Thailand

> Received 10 July 2007; revised 29 August 2007; accepted 13 September 2007 Available online 22 September 2007

Abstract—Synthesis of dehydrorotenoid (1) was successfully achieved via an intramolecular aldol reaction of the corresponding 1,2-diaryl diketone intermediate. The 1,2-diaryl diketone was prepared using a ruthenium-catalyzed oxidation of the corresponding substituted diaryl acetylene. Treatment of this 1,2-diketone with L-proline induced a selective intramolecular aldol condensation reaction, forming the desired benzopyranone over the alternative benzofuran. Deprotection, cyclization, and dehydration gave the target compound in good overall yield. © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Rotenoids are an important class of natural products found principally in the Stemonaceae family<sup>[1](#page-6-0)</sup> and also in certain unrelated families such as Leguminosae and Nyctaginaceae.[2](#page-6-0) Rotenoids possess a variety of biological activities including insecticidal,<sup>[3](#page-6-0)</sup> antiviral,<sup>[4](#page-6-0)</sup> anticancer,<sup>[5](#page-6-0)</sup> antiplasmodial, $^6$  $^6$  antibacterial, $^7$  $^7$  antifungal, $^8$  $^8$  and anti-inflammatory activities.[9](#page-6-0) Recent reports revealed the pharmacological properties of the dehydrorotenoids whose structures are illustrated in Figure 1. Boeravinones G and H have been found to potently inhibit the drug efflux activity of breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP/ABCG2), a multidrug transporter responsible for cancer cell resistance to chemotherapy.[10](#page-6-0) Boeravinone E has anti-spasmolytic activity, inhibiting the contractions of smooth muscle induced by acetylcholine  $(Ach).$ <sup>[11](#page-6-0)</sup> 6-Hydroxy-2,3,9-trimethoxy-[1]-benzopyrano $[3,4-b][1]$ benzo-pyran-12(6H)-one (1), the focus of our synthetic efforts, was isolated from Clitoria fairchildiana with an anti-inflammatory activity based on capillary permeability assay.<sup>[9a](#page-6-0)</sup>

Our laboratory<sup>[12](#page-6-0)</sup> and others<sup>[13](#page-6-0)</sup> have investigated the synthesis of rotenoids, although previous approaches do not permit access to dehydrorotenoids containing an actetal moiety in ring B (e.g., 1). To date, the only report concerning the synthesis of this structure was the synthesis of coccineone B (Fig. 1)



Figure 1. Naturally occurring rotenoids.

using 2-benzoyloxybenzyl-2,4,6,-trihydroxy phenyl ketone as intermediate. $14$  In this report, we describe the methodological challenges associated with the synthesis of dehydrorotenoid 1, which was achieved in three steps using the corresponding 1,2-diaryl diketone as a key intermediate. Several features of our synthetic strategy were attractive: (i) the convergent pathway minimizes the number of linear synthetic steps while maximizing product yields; (ii) the availability, using an oxidation protocol described herein, of functionalized 1,2-diaryl substituted diketones provided abundant starting materials that could be used for the synthesis of a variety of dehydrorotenoid-based natural products,

Corresponding author. Tel.: +661 89173955; fax: +662 22541309; e-mail: [sophon.r@chula.ac.th](mailto:sophon.r@chula.ac.th)

<sup>0040–4020/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2007.09.032

implying further uses in preparing many related compounds for biological study.

The retrosynthetic approach adopted for compound 1 is outlined in Scheme 1. The synthetic strategy is based on two key successive intramolecular cyclization steps of 1,2-diaryl diketone (2), which was obtained from the corresponding substituted diaryl acetylene. The preparation of the desired substituted diaryl acetylene was accomplished by the Sonogashira coupling reaction between monoaryl substituted acetylene (3) and aryl iodide (4).



Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of rotenoid  $(1)$ .

## 2. Results and discussion

The synthesis of aryl acetylene 3 is presented in Scheme 2. To realize this approach, commercially available 5 was treated with methyl iodide under basic condition to obtain compound 6 in 64% yield. Treatment of 6 with bromoacetaldehyde diethyl acetal in the presence of potassium carbonate in DMF at  $150^{\circ}$  $150^{\circ}$ C gave ether 7 in excellent yield.<sup>15</sup> Conversion of 7 to 3 was accomplished by the Corey–Fuchs alkyny-lation via dibromide 8.<sup>[16](#page-7-0)</sup>



**Scheme 2**. (a) CH<sub>3</sub>I, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, acetone, 64%; (b) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, DMF, 150 °C, 91%; (c) CBr<sub>4</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>, Zn, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 88%; (d) *n*-BuLi, THF,  $-78$  °C, 80%.

The preparation of 4 is outlined in Scheme 3. 3,4-Dimethoxybenzaldehyde (9) was converted to 3,4-dimethoxyphenol



Scheme 3. (a) (i) m-CPBA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (ii) then  $K_2CO_3$ , MeOH, 96%; (b) BnBr,  $K_2CO_3$ , acetone, 96%; (c) NIS, TFA,  $CH_2Cl_2$ , 98%.

(10) in 96% yield using an m-CPBA-mediated Baeyer– Villiger oxidation<sup>[17](#page-7-0)</sup> followed by hydrolysis under basic conditions. The phenolic hydroxyl moiety of compound 10 was protected as its benzyl derivative  $(96\%)$ ,<sup>[18](#page-7-0)</sup> then iodinated with NIS in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid to give com-pound 4 in 98% yield.<sup>[19](#page-7-0)</sup>

Sonogashira coupling of monoaryl substituted acetylene (3) and aryl iodide (4) afforded the substituted diaryl acetylene (12) in excellent yield (98%).[20](#page-7-0) Compound 12 was oxidized with RuCl<sub>3</sub> (1 mol %), NaIO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>CN/CCl<sub>4</sub> according to a reported procedure<sup>[21](#page-7-0)</sup> to obtain diketone  $2$  in moderate yield (45%). To improve the yield of diketone 2, this oxida-tion reaction was buffered with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and MgSO<sub>4</sub>.<sup>[22](#page-7-0)</sup> Under this optimized condition, the desired diketone (2) was obtained in  $86\%$  yield (Scheme 4).<sup>23</sup>



Scheme 4. (a)  $Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , CuI, NEt<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 98%; (b) RuCl<sub>3</sub>, NaIO<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, CCl<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, MgSO<sub>4</sub>, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 86%.

The scope of this oxidation procedure for the conversions of various substituted diaryl acetylenes into the corresponding diketones was examined (Table 1). Arylalkynes substituted

**Table 1.** Oxidation of various substituted diaryl acetylenes by  $RuCl<sub>3</sub>/NaIO<sub>4</sub>$ ,  $H_2O/CH_3CN/CCI_4$  buffered with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, MgSO<sub>4</sub>

$$
Ar1 = Ar2 \longrightarrow Ar1 \longrightarrow Ar2
$$



Each product gave expected spectroscopic data.



**Scheme 5.** (a) 2 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, THF, reflux; (b) (i) 2 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, THF; (ii) L-proline, THF, 71%; (c)  $H_2$ , Pd/C, IPA, 80%; (d) 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$ , THF, 93%.



**Scheme 6.** (a) (i) 2 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O/THF; (ii) L-proline, THF, 17 (75%); (b) recryst CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/EtOH.

with an electron-donating group have been transformed into the corresponding diketones in good yield and with shorter reaction time. On the other hand, the presence of a nitro group on the aryl moiety (entry 3) resulted in a significantly lower yield of diketone product.

Scheme 5 depicts the final transformations leading up to synthetic rotenoid 1. Aldol cyclization of 2 under acidic conditions afforded benzopyranone 13 through cyclization onto the distal ketone and dehydration. Notably, none of the corresponding five-membered ring (benzofuran) was observed. In order to avoid potential conflictions in the removal of the benzyl ether, a stepwise aldol sequence was then employed. Compound 2 was treated with  $2 M H_2SO_4$ ,  $H_2O$ / THF to transform the diethyl acetal moiety into an aldehyde intermediate, which was followed by treatment with L-proline in THF to initiate an intramolecular aldol condensation leading to  $14$  in 71% yield.<sup>[24](#page-7-0)</sup> Attempts to establish the stereochemistry of 14 by X-ray analysis were unsuccessful due to the non-crystalline, unstable nature of compound 14. Thus, a bromo analog (16) was prepared and subjected to identical L-proline catalyzed-intramolecular aldol reaction as shown in Scheme 6. 1,2-Diketone 16 gave the aldol reaction product 17 in 73% yield as a white amorphous solid. Compound 17 was recrystallized from dichloromethane and ethanol (1:4) to give single crystals. The syn stereochemistry of compound 17 (carbonyl at C-2 and OH-C3) was ascertained from the correlation of X-ray diffraction data of 17a and  $17b$  (Fig. 2).<sup>25</sup> Thus, the stereochemistry of compound 14 (carbonyl at C-2 and OH-C3) was assigned to be syn by analogy.[26](#page-7-0) However, the stereogenic centers of compound 14 will be destroyed in the final step.

Preparation of the rotenoid hemiacetal 15 was accomplished in 80% yield by removing the benzyl group from compound 14 using 10% Pd/C under  $H_2$  atmosphere.<sup>[27](#page-7-0)</sup> The stereochemistry indicated for compound 15 was confirmed by the NO-ESY correlations; the hemiacetal at C-6 was formed almost exclusively with only small amount of epimer being observed in the NMR spectrum. To explain this stereochemical outcome, we invoke an intramolecular H-bond between the hydroxyl at position C-3 and the aldehyde carbonyl (Fig. 3), which locked the carbonyl and exposed it to be attacked by phenolic-OH leading to  $6\beta$ -OH of a hemiacetal moiety. This new hydroxyl group can engage in a similar intramolecular



Figure 3. Probable reactive conformation.



Figure 2. ORTEP diagram for compounds 17a and 17b.

hydrogen bond (not shown) to stabilize the product (15). However, the ratio of  $6\alpha$ -OH was increased when the compound was purified under acidic condition. This result indicated that  $6\beta$ -OH was the kinetic product.

Finally, dehydration of 15 was performed in 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$  in THF to provide the target molecule 1 in 93% yield. Synthetic 1 exhibited  ${}^{1}$ H and  ${}^{13}$ C NMR spectral data in agreement with those of the natural product.<sup>[9b](#page-6-0)</sup>

## 3. Conclusion

We have developed a novel and concise methodology for the synthesis of dehydrorotenoid (1) using the corresponding 1,2-diaryl diketone as a key intermediate in good yield. This synthetic strategy should be applicable to the synthesis of rotenoid and isoflavanoid analogs. Moreover, the corresponding 1,2-diaryl diketone was easily accessed by the ruthenium-catalyzed oxidation of diaryl acetylenes in buffer media.

# 4. Experiment

## 4.1. General experimental methods

All reactants and reagents were commercially available and were used without further purification unless otherwise indicated. Anhydrous solvents were distilled immediately prior to use: tetrahydrofuran (THF) from sodium/benzophenone, dichloromethane and acetonitrile from calcium hydride. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (Merck, 230–400). NMR spectra were recorded at  $400$  MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 100 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million from tetramethylsilane with the solvent resonance as the internal standard. The following abbreviations were used for NMR data: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quadruplet; m, multiplet; br, broad. IR data were obtained with an FTIR spectrometer. High-resolution mass spectra (TOF MS (ESI)) and low resolution mass spectra (MS (ESI)) were performed on instruments from the National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology. Melting points of crystalline compounds are uncorrected.

4.1.1. 2-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-benzaldehyde (6). 2,4-Dihydroxybenzaldehyde (5) (1.5 g, 10.81 mmol) and  $K_2CO_3$ (1.5 g, 10.81 mmol) were first dissolved in acetone (20 mL) then treated with methyl iodide (677  $\mu$ L, 10.81 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. After removal of solvent, the residue was dissolved in EtOAc  $(2\times50 \text{ mL})$  and then washed with H<sub>2</sub>O  $(2\times50 \text{ mL})$  and brine  $(2\times20 \text{ mL})$  and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The organic phase was filtered, concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 1:4 EtOAc/hexane) to provide compound 6 (1.05 g, 64%), mp=39–40 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.55 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4);<br><sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCL)  $\delta$  11.48 (s 1H) 9.70 (s 1H) 7.42 (d <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  11.48 (s, 1H), 9.70 (s, 1H), 7.42 (d, 1H,  $J=8.4$  Hz), 6.53 (dd, 1H,  $J=8.4$ , 2.4 Hz), 6.42 (d, 1H,  $J=2.4$  Hz), 3.84 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  194.4, 166.8, 164.5, 135.3, 115.4, 108.4, 100.6, 55.7; IR (neat) 3081, 2850, 1638 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (ESI) calcd for  $C_8H_9O_3$  $(M+H)^+$  m/z 153.16; found 153.33.

4.1.2. 2-(2,2-Diethoxy-ethoxy)-4-methoxy-benzaldehyde (7). To a solution of compound 6 (509 mg, 3.34 mmol) in DMF (3 mL) were added  $K_2CO_3$  (1.85 g, 13.38 mmol) and bromoacetaldehyde diethyl acetal (410 µL, 3.68 mmol). The mixture was stirred under refluxing at  $150^{\circ}$ C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was worked up with ice, neutralized with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution. The product was extracted with EtOAc  $(3\times15 \text{ mL})$ , the combined organic extract was washed with  $H_2O$  (2×10 mL) and brine  $(1\times20 \text{ mL})$ , and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The organic phase was filtered, concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 1:4 EtOAc/hexane) to give compound 7 (813 mg, 91%) as a yellow oil. TLC,  $R_f$  0.43 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3)$   $\delta$  10.30 (s, 1H), 7.78 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz), 6.53 (dd, 1H,  $J=8.8$ , 2.0 Hz), 6.42 (d, 1H,  $J=2.0$  Hz), 4.86 (t, 1H,  $J=5.6$  Hz), 4.05 (d, 2H,  $J=5.6$  Hz), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.77 (m, 2H), 3.63 (m, 2H), 1.23 (t, 6H, J=6.8 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl3) d 188.2, 166.1, 162.7, 130.3, 119.1, 106.7, 100.4, 98.6, 69.2, 63.2×2, 55.6, 15.3×2; IR (neat) 2968, 2875, 1684, 1597, 1438 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup> m/z 269.1389; found 269.1380.

4.1.3. 1-(2,2-Dibromo-vinyl)-2-(2,2-diethoxy-ethoxy)-4 methoxy-benzene (8). A mixture of Zn dust (886 mg, 13.56 mmol), triphenylphosphine (3.06 g, 13.56 mmol), and carbon tetrabromide (4.50 g, 13.56 mmol) in dried  $CH_2Cl_2$  (35 mL) was stirred at room temperature under N<sub>2</sub> for 6 h. To this mixture was added compound 7 (1.21 g, 4.52 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The solvent was removed to give a residue. This mixture was dissolved in EtOAc 80 mL and filtered. The solvent was removed and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 3:7 EtOAc/hexane) to yield compound 8 (1.68 g, 88%) as a yellow solid, mp=40-41 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.63 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.60 (d, 1H,  $J=9.2$  Hz), 7.47 (s, 1H), 6.43 (dd, 1H,  $J=9.2$ , 2.4 Hz), 6.34 (d, 1H,  $J=2.4$  Hz), 4.76 (t, 1H,  $J=5.6$  Hz), 3.90 (d, 2H, J=5.6 Hz), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.71 (m, 2H), 3.58 (m, 2H), 1.19 (t, 6H, J=6.8 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.1, 156.9, 132.3, 129.6, 117.4, 105.1, 100.6, 99.1, 87.7, 69.3, 63.3 $\times$ 2, 55.4, 15.4 $\times$ 2; IR (neat) 2972, 2890, 1617 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{20}Br_2O_4Na$  (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> m/z 444.9626; found 444.9636.

4.1.4. 2-(2,2-Diethoxy-ethoxy)-1-ethynyl-4-methoxyben**zene** (3). Compound  $8$  (1.68 g, 3.97 mmol) was dissolved in dried THF (10 mL) at  $-78$  °C under N<sub>2</sub>, and then *n*-butyllithium (7.5 mL of a 0.56 M hexane solution, 4.17 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred at  $-78$  °C for 30 min. The reaction mixture was worked up with water (5 mL) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc  $(2\times25 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic extract was washed with water (20 mL) and brine (20 mL) and finally dried over Na2SO4. The organic phase was filtered, concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 1:9 EtOAc/hexane) to obtain compound **3** (839 mg, 80%) as a yellow solid, mp=66–67 °C; TLC,  $R_f$ 0.53 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:9); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.32 (dd, 1H,  $J=9.6, 1.6$  Hz), 6.41 (d, 1H,  $J=9.6$  Hz), 6.40 (d, 1H,  $J=1.6$  Hz), 4.83 (t, 1H,  $J=5.6$  Hz), 4.01 (d, 2H,  $J=$ 5.6 Hz), 3.78 (m, 2H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.66 (m, 2H), 3.14 (s,

1H), 1.22 (t, 6H, J=7.2 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.3, 161.0, 134.7, 105.8, 104.2, 100.8, 99.5, 80.1, 79.6, 69.9, 63.4 $\times$ 2, 55.4, 15.3 $\times$ 2; IR (neat) 3281, 2983, 2880,  $2110 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup> m/z 265.1440; found 265.1447.

**4.1.5. 3,4-Dimethoxyphenol (10).**<sup>[28](#page-7-0)</sup> To a solution of 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (9) (2.00 g, 12.04 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$ (32 mL) was added m-chloroperbenzoic acid (3.26 g with  $25-30\%$  of H<sub>2</sub>O, ca. 13.24 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with dimethyl sulfide (1 mL) and then successively washed with saturated aqueous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>$  solution  $(3\times20 \text{ mL})$  and brine (20 mL). The organic phase was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in MeOH (30 mL), and then treated with  $K_2CO_3$  (3.32 g, 24.07 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. After removal of MeOH, the residue was dissolved in EtOAc (30 mL), washed with  $H_2O$  ( $2\times20$  mL) and brine ( $20$  mL), and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The organic phase was filtered and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 1:1 EtOAc/hexane) to provide compound 10 (1.78 g, 96%) as a white solid, mp=80-82 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.50 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.70 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz), 6.46 (d, 1H,  $J=2.4$  Hz), 6.34 (dd, 1H,  $J=8.8$ , 2.4 Hz), 5.84 (br s, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) d 150.3, 149.8, 142.9, 112.5, 105.9, 100.6, 56.6, 55.7; IR  $(n$ eat) 3726–3034, 2983, 2839, 1608, 1508, 1465 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (ESI) calcd for  $C_8H_{11}O_3$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> m/z 155.07; found 155.33.

4.1.6. 4-Benzyloxy-1,2-dimethoxy-benzene  $(11).^{29}$  $(11).^{29}$  $(11).^{29}$  Compound 10 (857 mg, 5.56 mmol) and  $K_2CO_3$  (844 mg, 6.11 mmol) were first dissolved in acetone (20 mL) and then treated with benzyl bromide  $(727 \mu L, 6.12 \text{ mmol})$ , and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. After removal of solvent, the residue was dissolved in water (30 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc  $(2\times30 \text{ mL})$ , washed with brine  $(2\times20 \text{ mL})$ , and dried over Na2SO4. The organic phase was filtered, concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 3:7 EtOAc/hexane) to give compound 11 (1.31 g, 96%) as a white solid, mp=43.5 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.48 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.46– 7.31 (m, 5H), 6.77 (d, 1H,  $J=8.4$  Hz), 6.62 (d, 1H,  $J=1.6$  Hz), 6.48 (dd, 1H,  $J=8.4$ , 1.6 Hz), 5.00 (s, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3)  $\delta$  153.4, 149.9, 143.7, 137.2, 128.6×2, 128.0, 127.6×2, 111.8, 104.1, 101.2, 70.6, 56.4, 55.8; IR (neat) 2834, 1611, 1519, 1463 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{17}O_3$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> m/z 245.12; found 245.31.

4.1.7. 1-Benzyloxy-2-iodo-4,5-dimethoxy-benzene (4). To a solution of compound 11 (557 mg, 2.28 mmol) in dried  $CH_2Cl_2$  (4 mL) were added N-iodosuccinimide (446 mg, 2.51 mmol) and TFA  $(5.2 \mu L, 0.58 \text{ mmol})$  and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous NaHSO<sub>3</sub> (1 mL). The resulting mixture was washed with  $H_2O$  (2×10 mL) and brine (10 mL), and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The organic phase was filtered, concentrated, and the residue was purified by

flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 1:4 EtOAc/hexane) to give compound 4 (827 mg, 98%) as a white solid, mp=50–51 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.30 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.49 (d, 2H,  $J=7.2$  Hz), 7.39 (t, 2H,  $J=7.2$  Hz),  $7.32$  (t, 1H,  $J=7.2$  Hz),  $7.21$  (s, 1H), 6.51 (s, 1H), 5.08 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (CDCl3)  $\delta$  152.0, 150.0, 144.6, 136.7, 128.5×2, 128.0, 127.4×2, 121.5, 100.2, 74.4, 72.4, 56.6, 56.1; IR (neat) 2939, 2847, 1508, 1439, 1208 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{15}IO_3Na$  (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> m/z 392.9964; found 392.9969.

4.1.8. 1-Benzyloxy-2-[2-(2,2-diethoxy-ethoxy)-4-methoxy phenylethynyl]-4,5-dimethoxybenzene (12). To a  $N_2$ -degassed solution of  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  (5 mL) and triethylamine  $(500 \mu L)$  were added compound 3  $(338 \text{ mg}, 1.28 \text{ mmol})$ , compound 4 (338 mg, 0.91 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (13 mg, 2 mol %), and CuI (3 mg, 1.7 mol %), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The mixture was filtered, concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 3:7 EtOAc/hexane) to give compound 12 (456 mg, 98%) as a brown solid, mp=53–54 °C; TLC,  $R_f$ 0.28 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3)$   $\delta$  7.48 (d, 2H, J=6.8 Hz), 7.38–7.26 (m, 4H), 6.97 (s, 1H), 6.51 (s, 1H), 6.48–6.47 (m, 2H), 5.20 (s, 2H), 4.79 (t, 1H,  $J=6.0$  Hz), 4.06 (d, 2H,  $J=6.0$  Hz), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.74 (m, 2H), 3.64 (m, 2H), 1.18 (t, 6H,  $J=7.2$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.8, 160.2, 154.2, 149.7, 143.6, 137.4, 133.9, 128.4×2, 127.8, 127.4×2, 115.4, 106.1, 105.9, 101.1, 100.82, 99.7, 88.9, 88.4, 72.6, 70.1,  $63.3 \times 2$ , 56.3, 55.9, 55.4, 15.4 $\times$ 2; IR (neat) 2967, 2372, 1606, 1514 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{30}H_{34}O_7Na$   $(M+Na)^+$   $m/z$  529.2202; found 529.2208.

4.1.9. General procedure for oxidation of alkynes to 1,2 diketones. To the alkyne substrate  $(2.0 \text{ mmol})$ , NaHCO<sub>3</sub>  $(13.2 \text{ mg}, 0.1571 \text{ mmol})$ , MgSO<sub>4</sub> (59 mg, 0.4937 mmol), and NaIO<sub>4</sub> (1.2833 mg, 6 mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3 mL), CCl<sub>4</sub>  $(3 \text{ mL})$ , and H<sub>2</sub>O  $(4 \text{ mL})$  was added RuCl<sub>3</sub> stock solution in water  $(2 \text{ mL}, 1 \text{ mol} \%)$ , 0.01 M). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until complete conversion. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc  $(2\times30 \text{ mL})$ , washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After the organic solvent was removed the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to obtain the pure product.

4.1.9.1. 1-(2-Benzyloxy-4,5-dimethoxy-phenyl)-2-[2- (2,2-diethoxy-ethoxy)-4-methoxyphenyl]-ethane-1,2-dione (2). General procedure was followed employing 300 mg (0.59 mmol) of compound 12. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 1:1 EtOAc/hexane) to obtain compound 2 (274 mg, 86%) as a yellow solid, mp=119–120 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.43 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.78 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz), 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.14–7.11 (m, 3H), 7.05 (d, 2H,  $J=6.8$  Hz), 6.48 (s, 1H), 6.43 (dd, 1H,  $J=8.8$ , 2.0 Hz), 6.29 (d, 1H,  $J=2.0$  Hz), 4.87  $(s, 2H), 4.20$  (t, 1H,  $J=5.6$  Hz), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.82 (d, 2H,  $J=5.6$  Hz), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.47 (m, 2H), 3.21  $(m, 2H), 1.07$  (t, 6H, J=7.2 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) d 191.7, 191.4, 165.7, 161.0, 155.8, 155.1, 143.9, 135.3, 132.3, 128.2×2, 127.8, 127.6×2, 116.9, 115.8, 111.3, 107.1, 100.3, 98.9, 97.7, 71.9, 70.1, 62.82, 56.3, 56.1, 55.6, 15.2×2; IR (neat) 2967, 1647, 1605, 1514,

1442 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>9</sub>Na (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> m/z 561.2101; found 561.2105.

4.1.9.2. 1-(4-Methoxy-phenyl)-2-phenyl-ethane-1,2 **dione.**<sup>[30](#page-7-0)</sup> Light yellow crystal, mp= $47-48$  °C; TLC,  $R_f$ 0.48 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.54–7.50  $(m, 4H), 7.35$   $(m, 3H), 6.91-6.89$  (dd, 2H,  $J=8.8, 1.2$  Hz), 3.82 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  194.9, 193.2, 165.0, 134.8, 133.1,  $132.3 \times 2$ ,  $129.9 \times 2$ ,  $129.0 \times 2$ , 126.0, 114.42, 55.6; IR (neat) 3061, 2834, 1674, 1695, 1513,  $1260, 1169$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

4.1.9.3. 1,2-Diphenyl-ethane-1,2-dione.<sup>[30](#page-7-0)</sup> Light yellow crystal, mp=76–80 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.50 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.60–7.58 (m, 4H), 7.70–7.37 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  131.6×4, 128.4×4,  $128.3\times2$ ,  $123.3\times2$ ,  $89.4\times2$ ; IR (neat) 3060, 1600, 1504,  $1443$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

4.1.9.4. 1-(4-Chloro-phenyl)-2-phenyl-ethane-1,2-di**one.**<sup>[30](#page-7-0)</sup> Yellow crystal, mp=64–65 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.38 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.96 (dd, 2H,  $J=7.6$ , 1.6 Hz), 7.92 (dd, 2H,  $J=6.8$ , 0.8 Hz), 7.67 (t, 1H, J=7.6 Hz), 7.54–7.48 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  193.9, 193.1, 141.6, 135.1, 132.8, 131.3, 131.2×2, 129.9×2, 129.42, 129.12; IR (neat) 2995, 1769, 1674, 1587,  $1456$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

4.1.9.5. 4-(2-Oxo-2-phenyl-acetyl)-benzoic acid ethyl ester. Light yellow crystal, mp=64–65 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.48 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4); <sup>I</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.08 (d, 2H,  $J=8.0$  Hz), 7.95 (d, 2H,  $J=8.4$  Hz), 7.89 (d, 2H,  $J=7.6$  Hz), 7.59 (t, 1H,  $J=7.6$  Hz), 7.44 (t, 2H,  $J=8.0$  Hz), 4.32 (q, 2H, J=7.2 Hz), 1.32 (t, 3H, J=7.2 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl3) d 193.8, 193.7, 165.4, 135.9, 135.7, 135.1, 132.7, 130.02, 129.92, 129.72, 129.142, 61.7, 14.2; IR  $(n$ eat) 2922, 1717, 1674, 1600, 1452, 1278 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{14}O_4$ Na (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> m/z 305.0790; found 305.0793.

4.1.9.6. 1-(4-Nitro-phenyl)-2-phenyl-ethane-1,2-di-one.<sup>[30](#page-7-0)</sup> Yellow crystal, mp=139–140 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.43 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.33 (d, 2H,  $J=1.2$  Hz), 8.15 (d, 2H,  $J=8.4$  Hz), 7.97 (d, 2H,  $J=8.4$  Hz), 7.70 (t, 1H,  $J=7.6$  Hz), 7.54 (t, 2H,  $J=7.6$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 192.9, 192.1, 151.1, 137.3, 135.5, 132.3, 131.0 $\times$ 2, 130.0 $\times$ 2, 129.2 $\times$ 2, 124.1 $\times$ 2; IR (neat) 3109, 1665, 1600, 1521, 1356 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

4.1.9.7. 1-(2,4-Bis-benzyloxyphenyl)-2-(4-methoxy $phenyl)-ethane-1,2-dione.$  Colorless crystal,  $mp=97-$ 98 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.18 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3)$   $\delta$  8.05 (d, 1H, J=9.2 Hz), 7.64 (d, 2H, J=8.4 Hz), 7.40–7.35 (m, 5H), 7.26–7.16 (m, 3H), 7.00 (d, 2H,  $J=6.8$  Hz), 6.77 (d, 2H,  $J=8.8$  Hz), 6.71 (dd, 1H,  $J=8.8$ , 1.6 Hz), 6.52 (d, 1H, J=1.6 Hz), 5.10 (s, 2H), 4.82 (s, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  193.6, 192.6, 165.6, 163.8, 161.4, 135.8, 134.7, 132.8, 131.7 × 2, 128.8 × 2, 128.4, 128.3×2, 128.0, 127.9×2, 127.5×2, 126.1, 117.5, 113.82, 107.7, 99.9, 71.0, 70.5, 55.5; IR (neat) 3030, 2926, 1665, 1596, 1517, 1443 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{29}H_{24}O_5$ Na  $(M+Na)^+$   $m/z$  475.1521; found 475.1524.

4.1.9.8. 4-[2-(2,4-Bis-benzyloxyphenyl)-2-oxo-acetyl] **benzoic acid ethyl ester.** Light yellow oil. TLC,  $R_f$  0.25 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.96 (d, 1H,  $J=8.4$  Hz), 7.82 (d, 2H,  $J=8.4$  Hz), 7.56 (d, 2H, J=8.0 Hz), 7.31-7.25 (m, 5H), 7.16-7.11 (m, 1H), 7.05 (t, 2H,  $J=7.6$  Hz), 6.85 (d, 2H,  $J=7.2$  Hz), 6.65 (dd, 1H,  $J=9.2$ , 2 Hz), 6.43 (d, 1H,  $J=1.6$  Hz), 5.02 (s, 2H), 4.68  $(s, 2H), 4.31$  (q, 2H, J=7.2 Hz), 1.33 (t, 3H, J=7.2 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  192.9×2, 166.0, 165.7, 161.5, 136.1,  $135.7, 134.3, 134.1, 132.7, 129.5 \times 2, 129.1 \times 2, 128.8 \times 2,$  $128.4\times3$ ,  $128.3$ ,  $128.1\times2$ ,  $127.5\times2$ ,  $117.0$ ,  $108.0$ ,  $99.7$ , 71.1, 70.5, 61.4, 14.3; IR (neat) 3052, 2934, 2874, 1721, 1683, 1643, 1591, 1439, 1269 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{31}H_{26}O_6$ Na (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> m/z 517.1627; found 517.1626.

4.1.10. 1-(2-Benzyloxy-5-bromo-phenyl)-2-[2-(2,2-diethoxyethoxy)-4-methoxyphenyl]-ethane-1,2-dione (16). General procedure was followed employing 650 mg (1.24 mmol) of 1-(2-benzyloxy-5-bromophenylethynyl)-2- (2,2-diethoxyethoxy)-4-methoxy-benzene. Purification by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 2:3 EtOAc/hexane) to give 1-(2-benzyloxy-5-bromo-phenyl)- 2-[2-(2,2-diethoxy-ethoxy)-4-methoxy-phenyl]-ethane-1,2 dione (572 mg, 83% yield) as a white solid, mp=84–85 °C; TLC,  $R_f$ 0.33 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.18 (d, 1H,  $J=2.3$  Hz), 7.67 (d, 1H,  $J=8.6$  Hz), 7.60 (dd, 1H,  $J=8.6$ , 2.3 Hz), 7.15–7.10 (m, 3H), 7.03 (d, 2H,  $J=6.2$  Hz), 6.87 (d, 1H,  $J=8.6$  Hz), 6.43 (dd, 1H,  $J=8.6$ , 1.6 Hz), 6.25 (s, 1H), 4.87 (s, 2H), 4.18 (t, 1H,  $J=4.7$  Hz), 3.18 (s, 3H), 3.77 (d, 2H,  $J=4.7$  Hz), 3.49 (q, 2H,  $J=7.8 \text{ Hz}$ ), 3.20 (q, 2H,  $J=7.8 \text{ Hz}$ ), 1.06 (t, 6H,  $J=7.8$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  191.0, 190.7, 165.9, 161.0, 158.3, 137.7, 134.5, 133.2, 132.2, 128.32, 128.0, 127.72, 125.3, 116.4, 114.9, 113.6, 107.2, 100.1, 98.8, 71.3, 69.9, 62.9 $\times$ 2, 55.6, 15.2 $\times$ 2; IR (neat) 2978, 2927, 1668, 1704, 1653 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{28}H_{29}O_7BrNa (M+Na)^+$  m/z 579.0989; found 579.0997.

4.1.11. 3-(2-Benzyloxy-4,5-dimethoxy-phenyl)-7-methoxy-4-oxo-4H-chromene-2-carbaldehyde (13). To a solution of  $0.5 M H_2SO_4$  in THF was added compound 2 (200 mg, 0.37 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. This mixture was diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O, and then extracted with EtOAc  $(2\times15 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layer was concentrated, and the mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 1:4 EtOAc/hexane) to give compound 13 (131 mg, 79% yield) as a yellow solid, mp=127–128 °C; TLC,  $R_f$ 0.48 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.59 (s, 1H), 8.13 (d, 1H, J=9.6 Hz), 7.21–7.19 (m, 5H), 7.02 (s, 1H), 7.01 (d, 1H,  $J=9.6$  Hz), 6.86 (s, 1H), 6.67 (s, 1H), 4.99 (d, 1H, J=11.6 Hz), 4.93 (d, 1H, J=11.6 Hz), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (CDCl3) d 186.3, 177.4, 165.1, 157.1, 151.9, 151.2, 150.9, 143.7, 136.4, 128.52, 128.0, 127.8, 127.7, 127.52, 118.1, 115.7, 115.3, 109.2, 100.3×2, 72.7, 56.4, 56.1, 56.0; IR  $(n$ eat) 3396, 1741, 1661, 1604, 1579, 1268 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{32}H_{28}O_8$ Na  $(M+Na)^+$  m/z 563.1682; found 563.1676.

4.1.12. 3-(2-Benzyloxy-4,5-dihydroxy-phenyl)-3-hydroxy-7-methoxy-4-oxo-chroman-2-carbaldehyde (14). To a solution of  $2 M H_2SO_4$  in  $H_2O$  (1 mL) and THF

<span id="page-6-0"></span>(4 mL) was added compound 2 (200 mg, 0.37 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h. This mixture was diluted with  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (30 mL), and then extracted with EtOAc  $(2\times20$  mL), washed sequentially with water  $(4 \times 20 \text{ mL})$  and brine (20 mL). The organic phase was dried  $(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)$  and concentrated to give crude aldehyde. This crude aldehyde was dissolved in THF (4 mL), followed by addition of L-proline (3 mg, 0.03 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The reaction mixture was added with  $H_2O$  (15 mL) and then the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $2\times10$  mL). The combined organic phase (THF and CHCl<sub>3</sub>) was washed with  $H_2O$  $(2\times15 \text{ mL})$ , and then dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was recrystallized with hexane and EtOAc to give compound 14 as a white solid (122 mg, 71%), mp=192-193 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.28 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +4 (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.55 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz), 7.18–7.16 (m, 6H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 6.58 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz), 6.52 (s, 1H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 4.98 (d, 1H, J=11.2 Hz), 4.89 (d, 1H, J=11.2 Hz), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.42 (s, 1H); 13C NMR (CDCl3) d 195.6, 186.7, 166.3, 161.0, 150.1, 148.8, 143.7, 135.8,  $129.8, 128.4 \times 2, 128.0, 127.4 \times 2, 117.1, 113.1, 111.5,$ 111.1, 100.8, 99.1, 84.8, 76.1, 71.6, 56.5, 56.1, 55.8; IR  $(neat)$  3560-3242, 1747, 1682, 1600, 1443 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{26}H_{24}O_8$ Na  $(M+Na)^+$  m/z 487.1369; found 487.1376.

4.1.13. 6,12a-Dihydroxy-2,3,9-trimethoxy-6a,12a-dihy- $$ tion of compound 14 (100 mg, 0.27 mmol) in distilled isopropanol (2 mL) was added Pd/C (10% on charcoal, 10 mg), and the mixture was stirred under a balloon pressure of  $H_2$  at room temperature for 12 h. The mixture was filtered and concentrated to give compound 15 (69 mg, 80%) as a white solid, mp=84–86 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.23 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +4 (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.86 (d, 1H,  $J=8.8$  Hz), 7.73 (s, 1H), 6.66 (dd, 1H,  $J=8.8$ , 2.4 Hz), 6.55 (d, 1H,  $J=2.4$  Hz), 6.42 (s, 1H), 5.81 (br s, 1H), 5.34 (d, 1H,  $J=6.0$  Hz), 4.57 (d, 1H,  $J=2.8$  Hz), 4.28 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (CDCl3) d 186.1, 166.2, 161.9, 151.2, 145.0, 144.1, 130.5, 113.3, 112.8, 111.1, 110.0, 101.1, 100.6, 91.8, 76.8, 67.3, 56.3, 55.9, 55.8; IR (neat) 3686, 3070, 1617, 1582, 1443 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{19}H_{18}O_8Na$   $(M+Na)^+$   $m/z$ 397.0899; found 397.0905.

4.1.14. 6-Hydroxy-2,3,9-trimethoxy-6H-chromeno[3,4 **b**]chromen-12-one (1). To a solution of  $0.5 M H_2SO_4$  in THF was added compound 15 (50 mg, 0.13 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. This mixture was diluted with  $H_2O$ , and then extracted with EtOAc  $(2\times15$  mL). The combined organic layer was concentrated, and the mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (elution with 95:5 CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH) to give compound 1 (44 mg, 93% yield) as a yellow solid,  $mp=211-212$  °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.48 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH, 95:5);  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  0 (c 1.0, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>+(CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO)  $\delta$  8.48 (s, 1H), 8.03 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz), 6.87 (dd, 1H, J=8.8, 2.0 Hz), 6.77 (d, 1H, J=2.0 Hz), 6.53 (s, 1H), 6.04 (s, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H); 13C NMR  $(CDCl<sub>3</sub>+(CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO)$   $\delta$  175.4, 164.0, 156.8, 155.1, 149.0,

143.8, 143.2, 127.2, 118.1, 114.7, 110.5, 109.5, 108.8, 101.2, 100.2, 88.9, 56.2, 55.8×2; IR (neat) 3286, 2913, 1626, 1591, 1513, 1447 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{19}H_{16}O_7Na$   $(M+Na)^+$   $m/z$  379.0794; found 379.0795.

4.1.15. 3-(2-Benzyloxy-5-bromo-phenyl)-3-hydroxy-7 methoxy-4-oxo-chroman-2-carbaldehyde (17). White amorphous (150 mg, 75%), mp=190-192 °C; TLC,  $R_f$  0.30 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1);  $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 10$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDC1}_3)$   $\delta$  9.57 (s, 1H), 7.77 (d, 1H, J=2.0 Hz), 7.70 (d, 1H,  $J=8.8$  Hz), 7.48 (dd, 1H,  $J=8.4$ , 2.0 Hz), 7.19– 7.11 (m, 5H), 6.88 (d, 1H,  $J=8.4$  Hz), 6.66 (dd, 1H,  $J=8.8$ , 2.0 Hz),  $6.52$  (d, 1H,  $J=2.0$  Hz),  $5.33$  (s, 1H), 4.98 (d, 1H,  $J=11.2$  Hz), 4.90 (d, 1H,  $J=11.2$  Hz), 3.85 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,  $(CD_3)$ <sub>2</sub>SO)  $\delta$  196.7, 187.0, 166.2, 161.1, 154.1, 136.1, 132.6, 131.1, 129.9, 129.8, 128.52, 128.1, 127.72, 115.2, 113.1, 112.1, 111.4, 101.2, 84.6, 75.8, 70.7, 56.4; IR (neat) 3674–3172, 2950, 1738, 1659, 1602– 1446, 1256 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>6</sub>BrNa  $(M+Na)^+$   $m/z$  505.0263; found 505.0257.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the Thailand Research Fund (RGJ-PhD program to J.T. (PHD/0239/2547), the Graduate School and Department of Chemistry, Chulalongkorn University for financial support and also the Ratchadapisakesompote for partial financial support.

#### References and notes

- 1. Brem, B.; Seger, C.; Pacher, T.; Hofer, O.; Vajrodaya, S.; Greger, H. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, 50, 6383.
- 2. Crombie, L.; Whiting, D. A. Phytochemistry 1998, 49, 1479.
- 3. Fang, N.; Casida, J. E. J. Nat. Prod. 1999, 62, 205.
- 4. Phrutivorapongkul, A.; Lipipun, V.; Ruangrungsi, N.; Watanabe, T.; Ishikawa, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2002, 50, 534.
- 5. Roengsumran, S.; Khorphueng, P.; Chaichit, N.; Jaiboon-Muangsin, N.; Petsom, A. Z. Kristallogr. NCS 2003, 218, 105.
- 6. Yenesew, A.; Derese, S.; Midiwo, J. O.; Oketch-Rabah, H. A.; Lisgarten, J.; Palmer, R.; Heydenreich, M.; Peter, M. G.; Akala, H.; Wangui, J.; Liyala, P.; Waters, N. C. Phytochemistry 2003, 64, 773.
- 7. Takashima, J.; Chiba, N.; Yoneda, K. J. Nat. Prod. 2002, 65, 611.
- 8. Yang, S. W.; Ubillas, R.; McAlpine, J.; Stafford, A.; Ecker, D. M.; Talbot, M. K.; Rogers, B. J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 313.
- 9. (a) da Silva, B. P.; Parente, J. P. Phytother. Res. 2002, 16, S87; (b) Mathias, L.; Silva, B. P.; Mors, W. B.; Parente, J. P. Nat. Prod. Res. 2005, 19, 325.
- 10. (a) Ahmed-Belkacem, A.; Macalou, S.; Borrelli, F.; Capasso, R.; Fattorusso, E.; Taglialatela-Scafati, O.; Pietro, A. D. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 1933.
- 11. Borrelli, F.; Ascione, V.; Capasso, R.; Izzo, A. A.; Fattorusso, E.; Scafati, O. T. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 903.
- 12. Khorphueng, P.; Tummatorn, J.; Petsom, A.; Taylar, R. K. K.; Roengsumran, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 5989.
- 13. (a) Gabbutt, C. D.; Hepworth, J. D.; Heron, B. M.; Thomas, J. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 881; (b) Pastine, S. J.; Sames, D. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4053.
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>14. Yoshimura, H.; Kikuchi, K.; Hibi, S.; Tagami, K.; Satoh, T.; Yamauchi, T.; Ishibahi, A.; Tai, K.; Hida, T.; Tokuhara, N.; Nagai, M. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 2929.
- 15. Zheng, H. Y.; Li, A. B. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2005, 16, 20.
- 16. Jackson, T. J.; Herndon, J. W. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 3859.
- 17. Li, C. C.; Xie, Z. X.; Zhang, Y. D.; Chen, J. H.; Yang, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8500.
- 18. Narayan, S.; Roush, W. R. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3789.
- 19. Castanet, A. S.; Colobert, F.; Broutin, P. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 5047.
- 20. Eggers, L.; Bub, V. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 4485.
- 21. Magnus, P.; Matthew, A. H. S. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3853.
- 22. The added salts (NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and MgSO<sub>4</sub>) serve as a buffer and neutralize the hydroxide ions which produced during the reduction of  $RuO<sub>4</sub>$ .  $RuO<sub>4</sub>$  is generated in situ from ruthenium(III) chloride, see: (a) Srinivasan, N. S.; Lee, D. G. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1547; (b) Yang, D.; Zhang, C. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 4814.
- 23. The yield of diketone increased when pH was controlled to reduce the corresponding cleavage reaction of 1,2-diketone compound, see: Lee, D. G.; Chang, V. S. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 2726.
- 24. Modifying the experimental conditions with L-proline-induced intramolecular aldol reaction in good yields because compound 14 contains acidic proton at C-2 which can be easily eliminated to give the conjugated carbonyl as side product, see: Northrup, A. B.; MacMillan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6798.
- 25. (a) X-ray diffraction data for compound 17: X-ray structure determination of  $C_{26}H_{25}BrO_7$ : colorless needles grown from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/EtOH.  $M=529.62$ , triclinic,  $P(-1)$ ,  $a=8.61120(10)$  Å,  $b=21.4134(6)$  Å,  $c=25.7823(8)$  Å,  $V=4754.1(2)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $Z=2$ ,  $\rho_{\text{calcd}} = 1.480 \text{ mg/m}^3$ ,  $\mu = 1.774 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ ; full matrix least-square on  $F^2$ ;  $R_1 = 0.0814$ ,  $wR_2 = 0.1428$  for 34,243 reflections  $[I>2\sigma(I)]$ ; temp=293(2) K; the crystal structure for 17 has been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and allocated the deposition number CCDC 641936. (b) X-ray diffraction data for compound 19: X-ray structure determination of  $C_3$ <sub>2</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>8</sub>: yellow needles grown from hexane/EtOAc.  $M=540.57$ , triclinic,  $P(-1)$ ,  $a=9.2600(2)$  Å,  $b=9.7320(3)$  Å,  $c=14.7183(6)$  Å,  $V=1323.81(7)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $Z=2$ ,  $\rho_{\text{calcd}} = 1.356 \text{ mg/m}^3$ ,  $\mu = 0.098 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ ; full matrix least-square on  $F^2$ ;  $R_1 = 0.2899$ ,  $wR_2 = 0.2788$  for 9714 reflections  $[I>2\sigma(I)]$ ; temp=293(2) K; the crystal structure for 19 has been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and allocated the deposition number CCDC 641936.
- 26. Products 14 and 17 display optical activity, presumably as a function of employing L-proline for the aldol cyclization. We were unable to assign the absolute stereochemistry of the major enantiomer.
- 27. Walker, J. R.; Poulter, C. D. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 70, 9956.
- 28. Masakatsu, M.; Hisako, K.; Yasushi, H. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4740.
- 29. Sridhar, N.; William, R. R. Org. Lett. 2004, 21, 3789.
- 30. Giraud, A.; Provot, O.; Franc, J.; Peyrat, O.; Alami, M.; Brion, J. D. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 7667.